www.nesa-india.org • E-mail: nesapublications@gmail.com
International Journal on Biological Sciences

International Journal on Biological Sciences
ISSN No.: 0976-4518 • Vol. 17 (Iss. 1) **January-June 2026, Pp. 58-63**
https://doi.org/10.53390/IJBS.2026.171 11

MOLECULAR AND CELLULAR PERSPECTIVES IN DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY AND EMBRYOLOGY

[1]Payal Soan and [2]Ajit Kumar Sharma

[1]Department of Biotechnology, Dr. B Lal Institute of Biotechnology, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
[2]Department of Basic life and Applied Science, Apex University, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

Review Paper

Received: 06.04.2026 **Revised:** 22.04.2026 **Accepted:** 07.05.2026

ABSTRACT

Developmental biology and embryology study the process of a single zygote becoming a complex organism, integrating genetics, cell biology, physics, and evolution. New technologies, such as stem-cell-based embryo models, single-cell omics, and advanced imaging, have transformed the field into a highly quantitative, mechanistic discipline. Early embryogenesis involves the conserved segregation of cell lineages, leading to the formation of the blastocyst, which contains extraembryonic precursors and pluripotent cells. Classical embryology in the early 19th century laid the foundation for the modern field, which shifted toward experimental or causal embryology starting in the 1940s. The study of human embryology traditionally relied on comparative approaches using mammalian models, but new low-input methods now allow direct study of the human embryo. The latter half of the 20th century saw a revolution in embryology with the rise of molecular biology, leading to the fusion of embryology and genetics into developmental biology. Advancements in molecular biology, particularly molecular genetics, have allowed for a deeper understanding of gene expression and the genetic control of developmental processes.

No. of Pages: 6 **References: 24**

Keywords: Development biology, embryology, embryogenesis, cell lines, pluripotent cells.

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary Perspectives

Today, developmental biology is a multidisciplinary field encompassing stem cells, teratology, and the genetic basis of congenital disorders, and also includes experimental plant reproductive biology. Quantitative and imaging-based approaches provide high-resolution data on dynamic changes in gene regulation, cell fate, and tissue morphology, facilitating the creation of comprehensive theoretical models. New genomic data and gene-editing tools enable studies to be extended to non-model organisms, including amphioxus, ascidian, and ciliates, to investigate development and evolutionary mechanisms.

Challenges and Future Directions

The complexity of developmental processes, including the interplay of genetic and environmental factors, necessitates continued research and innovation, maintaining a balance between classical and modern approaches. New advances in in vitro modeling using stem cells

have revived mechanistic studies of allochrony (overall rate change) and heterochrony (specific step duration change) in developmental timing. The future involves integrating diverse methodologies, with ongoing research in stem cell biology holding promise for advancing the understanding of human development and disease.

*Corresponding author: *ajit2612@gmail.com*

Developmental biology and embryology study how a single cell (the zygote) becomes a complex organism, integrating genetics, cell biology, physics, and evolution. Recent tools like stem-cell-based embryo models, single-cell omics, and advanced imaging have transformed this into a highly quantitative, mechanistic field.

Embryology has long been a cornerstone of the life sciences, evolving significantly over the years. The integration of molecular biology into embryology has transformed developmental biology into a dynamic field that encompasses various concepts and techniques. This article explores the historical and contemporary aspects of developmental biology and embryology, highlighting key contributions and shifts in understanding.

Early embryogenesis is characterized by the segregation of cell lineages that fulfill critical roles in the establishment of pregnancy and development of the fetus. The formation of the blastocyst marks the emergence of extraembryonic precursors, needed for implantation, and of pluripotent cells, which differentiate toward the major lineages of the adult organism. The coordinated emergence of these cell types shows that these processes are broadly conserved in mammals. However, developmental heterochrony and changes in gene regulatory networks highlight unique evolutionary adaptations that may explain the diversity in placentation and in the mechanisms controlling pluripotency in mammals. The incorporation of new technologies, including single-cell omics, imaging, and gene editing, is instrumental for comparative embryology. Broadening the knowledge of mammalian embryology will provide new insights into the mechanisms driving evolution and development. This knowledge can be readily translated into biomedical and biotechnological applications in humans and livestock, respectively(Alberio, 2019).

Historical Context

Understanding human embryology has historically relied on comparative approaches using mammalian model organisms. With the advent of low-input methods to investigate genetic and epigenetic mechanisms and efficient techniques to assess gene function, now study the human embryo can done directly. These advances have transformed the investigation of early embryogenesis in nonrodent species, thereby providing a broader understanding of conserved and divergent mechanisms. Here, an overview of the major events in human preimplantation development and place them in the context of mammalian evolution by comparing these events in other eutherian and metatherian species. The advances of studies on postimplantation development and discuss stem cell models that mimic postimplantation embryos. A comparative

perspective highlights the importance of analyzing different organisms with molecular characterization and functional studies to reveal the principles of early development. This growing field has a fundamental impact in regenerative medicine and raises important ethical considerations.(Gerri et al., 2020). The evolution of embryology can be traced back to the early 19th century, where foundational knowledge was established regarding developmental processes. This period, often referred to as the classical era, laid the groundwork for modern embryology, which began to take shape in the 1940s with the rise of experimental or causal embryology. This shift marked a departure from classical descriptive embryology, which was heavily influenced by recapitulation theory, towards a more experimental approach that sought to understand the mechanisms behind development [2].

T. H. Morgan played a pivotal role in this transition, advocating for a mechanistic and experimental approach to biology. His work emphasized the importance of genetics in understanding heredity and development, leading to the establishment of genetics as a distinct discipline [1]. Morgan's influence extended to embryology, where he sought to correlate genetic factors with developmental processes, ultimately shaping the trajectory of modern biology [3].

Integration of Molecular Biology

The latter half of the 20th century witnessed a significant revolution in embryology, driven by advancements in molecular biology. This period saw the emergence of molecular genetics, which fundamentally altered the landscape of developmental biology. The integration of molecular techniques allowed for a deeper understanding of gene expression and its role in development, leading to the fusion of embryology and genetics into what is now known as developmental biology [6].

Boris Ephrussi's contributions are noteworthy in this context, as he sought to reconcile genetics and embryology through experimental approaches. His

work highlighted the importance of both nuclear and cytoplasmic factors in differentiation and development, paving the way for a more integrated understanding of these fields [4]. The advancements in molecular biology have since enabled researchers to explore the genetic control of development, further bridging the gap between genetics and embryology [8].

Contemporary Perspectives

Today, developmental biology encompasses a wide array of topics, including the study of stem cells, teratology, and the genetic basis of congenital disorders. The field has expanded to include experimental plant reproductive biology, which integrates techniques from various disciplines to enhance our understanding of reproductive processes in higher plants [5]. This multidisciplinary approach reflects the ongoing trend of integrating different scientific fields to address complex biological questions.

Across developmental systems, quantitative and imaging-based approaches have provided unprecedented resolution of dynamic changes in gene regulation and cell fate specification, along with complex changes in tissue morphology. This has set the stage for a wealth of comprehensive theoretical models, parameterised by experimental data, able to reproduce key aspects of biological behaviour and jointly enabling a higher level of abstraction, going from the identification of the molecular components to understanding complex functional relationships between these components. Despite these successes, gaining a cross-scale understanding of developmental systems will require further collaboration between disciplines, from developmental biology to bioengineering, systems biology and biophysics. We highlight the exciting multi-disciplinary research discussed at The Company of Biologists workshop 'Fostering quantitative modelling and experimentation in Developmental Biology'. (Biga, 2023).

Moreover, the advent of new technologies, such as imaging and bioinformatics, has revolutionized the study of embryology. These tools allow for the quantitative analysis of developmental processes, enabling researchers to explore the intricate networks of gene interactions that govern development [9]. The currently increasing genomic data and the recently available gene-editing tools make it possible to extend our studies to non-model organisms. In this review, we review the recent work on the regulatory signaling of developmental and regeneration processes, environmental adaptation, and evolutionary mechanisms using both the existing model animals such as zebrafish and Drosophila, and the emerging nonstandard model organisms including amphioxus, ascidian, ciliates, single-celled phytoplankton, and marine nematode. In addition, the challenging questions and new directions in these systems are outlined as well(Zhao et al., 2021). Organismal development requires the reproducible unfolding of an ordered sequence of discrete steps (cell fate determination, migration, tissue folding, etc.) in both time and space. Here, we review the mechanisms that grant temporal specificity to developmental steps, including molecular clocks and timers. Individual timing mechanisms must be coordinated with each other to maintain the overall developmental sequence. However, phenotypic novelties can also arise through the modification of temporal patterns over the course of evolution. Two main types of variation in temporal patterning characterize interspecies differences in developmental time: allochrony, where the overall developmental sequence is either accelerated or slowed down while maintaining the relative duration of individual steps, and heterochrony, where the duration of specific developmental steps is altered relative to the rest. New advances in in vitro modeling of mammalian development using stem cells have recently enabled the revival of mechanistic studies of allochrony and heterochrony(Diaz-Cuadros, & Pourquié, 2023). The application of quantitative methodologies is expected to shape the future of developmental biology, fostering a deeper understanding of the mechanisms underlying morphogenesis and differentiation [10].

Over the past two decades, our understanding of mouse development from implantation to gastrulation has grown exponentially with an upsurge of genetic, molecular, cellular, and morphogenetic information. New discoveries have exalted the role of extraembryonic tissues in orchestrating embryonic patterning and axial specification. At the same time, the identification of unexpected morphogenetic processes occurring during mouse gastrulation has challenged established dogmas and brought new insights into the mechanisms driving germ layer formation. In this article, we summarize the key findings that have reinvigorated the contemporary view of early postimplantation mammalian development(Rivera-Pérez, & Hadjantonakis, 2015).

Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant progress made in the field, challenges remain. The complexity of developmental processes and the interplay between genetic and environmental factors necessitate continued research and innovation. As developmental biology evolves, it is crucial to maintain a balance between classical approaches and modern techniques to fully comprehend the intricacies of development [7]. Mechanical forces play a crucial role in shaping embryo development, driving key morphogenetic processes like compaction, blastocyst formation, implantation, and egg cylinder formation(Huang et al., 2024).

Gene regulatory networks and tissue morphogenetic events drive the emergence of shape and function: the pillars of embryo development. Although model systems offer a window into the molecular biology of cell fate and tissue shape, mechanistic studies of our own development have so far been technically and ethically challenging. However, recent technical developments provide the tools to describe, manipulate and mimic human embryos in a dish, thus opening a new avenue to exploring human development Shahbazi, M. (2020).

The future of developmental biology lies in its ability to integrate diverse methodologies and perspectives. The ongoing exploration of stem cell biology, in particular, holds great promise for advancing our understanding of human development and disease [9]. As researchers continue to uncover the genetic and epigenetic mechanisms that drive development, the field is poised for further breakthroughs that will enhance our knowledge of both normal and pathological processes.

Single-cell and spatial omics technologies offer fresh perspectives for investigating embryonic development, offering potential for profound contributions to understanding molecular foundations in the future(Liu et al., 2025).

Dynamical systems theory concepts, such as phase portraits, stochasticity, response to time-dependent signals, and oscillations, help understand developmental regulatory mechanisms and cell fate decision-making.(Kadiyala).Combining embryonic and extra-embryonic stem cells in three-dimensional embryo models can provide valuable insights into cell-cell interactions essential for human embryogenesis (Weather bee et al., 2020)

Development from single cells to multicellular tissues and organs involves more than just the exact replication of cells, which is known as differentiation. The primary focus of research into the mechanism of differentiation has been differences in gene expression profiles between individual cells. However, it has predominantly been conducted at low throughput and bulk levels, challenging the efforts to understand molecular mechanisms of differentiation during the developmental process in animals and humans. During the last decades, rapid methodological advancements in genomics facilitated the ability to study developmental processes at a genome-wide level and finer resolution. Particularly, sequencing transcriptomes at single-cell resolution, enabled by single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq), was a breath-taking innovation, allowing scientists to gain a better understanding of differentiation and cell lineage during the developmental process. However, single-cell isolation during scRNA-seq results in the loss of the spatial information of individual cells and consequently limits our understanding of the specific functions of the cells performed by different spatial regions of tissues or organs. This greatly encourages the emergence of the spatial transcriptomic discipline and tools. Here, we summarize the recent application of scRNA-seq and spatial transcriptomic tools for developmental biology. We also discuss the limitations of current spatial transcriptomic tools and approaches, as well as possible solutions and future prospects(Choe et al., 2023).

Combining high-throughput generation and high-content imaging of embryo models will enable large-scale screening assays in the fields of (embryo) toxicity, drug development, embryogenesis, and reproductive medicine. This study shows the continuous culture and in situ (i.e., in microwell) imaging-based readout of a 3D stem cell-based model of peri-implantation epiblast (Epi)/extraembryonic endoderm (XEn) development with an expanded pro-amniotic cavity (PAC) (E3.5 E5.5), namely XEn/EPiCs. Automated image analysis and supervised machine learning permit the identification of embryonic morphogenesis, tissue compartmentalization, cell differentiation, and consecutive classification. Screens with signaling pathway modulators at different time windows provide spatiotemporal information on their phenotypic effect on developmental processes leading to the formation of XEn/EPiCs. Exposure of the biological model in the

microwell platform to pathway modulators at two time windows, namely 0-72 h and 48-120 h, show that Wnt and Fgf/MAPK pathway modulators affect Epi differentiation and its polarization, while modulation of BMP and Tgf□/Nodal pathway affects XEn specification and epithelialization. Further, their collective role is identified in the timing of the formation and expansion of PAC. The newly developed, scalable culture and analysis platform, thereby, provides a unique opportunity to quantitatively and systematically study effects of pathway modulators on early embryonic development(Shanker et al., 2023).

Embryonic development research has the potential to revolutionize biomedical sciences and human health if tackled in a multidisciplinary manner. The challenges of this field are by no means confined to understanding the intricate biological mechanisms but also have humanitarian implications. To fully appreciate the mechanisms underlying human development, principles of embryogenesis have predominantly been analyzed employing animal models which allow us to broaden our view on developmental processes. As a result of recent pioneering work and technical progress centered around stem cell-based 3D approaches, we are entering into a historical new phase of learning about mammalian embryonic development(Brand-Saberi, 2024).

In conclusion, the evolution of developmental biology and embryology reflects a rich history of scientific inquiry and innovation. From its classical roots to the integration of molecular biology, the field continues to expand and adapt, offering new insights into the fundamental processes of life. As we move forward, the collaborative efforts of researchers across disciplines will be essential in unraveling the complexities of development and its implications for health and disease.

References

1. **G. Allen; T. H.** Morgan and the influence of mechanistic materialism on the development of the gene concept 19101940. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 1983. (IF: 3)
2. **Alberio, R.** (2019). Regulation of Cell Fate Decisions in Early Mammalian Embryos. Annual review of animal biosciences. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-021419-083841.
3. **Biga, V., Wyatt, T., & Pinheiro, D.** (2023). Developmental mechanisms understood quantitatively. 150 11. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.201727.
4. **Brand-Saberi, B.** (2024). Embryonic development grand challenge: crosslinking advances. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*. 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2024.1467261.
5. **Brian T. Shea;** (2005). The Evolution Of Ontogeny". *International Journal of Primatology*, (IF: 3).
6. **Choe, K., Pak, U., Pang, Y., Hao, W., & Yang, X.** (2023). Advances and Challenges in Spatial Transcriptomics for Developmental Biology. Biomolecules. 13. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom13010156.
7. **Diaz-Cuadros, M., & Pourquié, O.** (2023). The Clockwork Embryo: Mechanisms Regulating Developmental Rate.. Annual review of genetics, 57, 117-134. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-022123-104503.
8. **Gerri, C., Menchero, S., Mahadevaiah, S., Turner, J., & Niakan, K.** (2020). Human Embryogenesis: A Comparative Perspective. *Annual review of Cell and Developmental Biology*. 36, 411-440 . https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-022020-024900.
9. **Hong-Yuan Yang; Chang Zhou;** (1992) "Experimental Plant Reproductive Biology and Reproductive Cell Manipulation in Higher Plants: Now and the Future". *American Journal of Botany*. (IF: 3).
10. **Huang, H., Gao, S., & Bao, M.** (2024). Exploring Mechanical Forces Shaping Self-Organization and Morphogenesis During Early Embryo Development.. Annual review of cell and developmental biology. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-120123-105748.
11. **J Murillo-González;** 2001. "Evolution of Embryology: A Synthesis of Classical, Experimental, and Molecular Perspectives", Clinical Anatomy.
12. **Kadiyala, U., Sprinzak, D., Monk, N., Taylor, S., Verd, B., Sonnen, K., Moon, L., Roeder, A., Pérez-Carrasco, R., & Formosa-Jordan, P.** (2025). From genes to patterns: five key dynamical systems concepts to decode developmental regulatory mechanisms. Development (Cambridge, England). 152. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.204617.

13. **Lara Busby; Dillan Saunders; Guillermo Serrano Nájera; Benjamin Steventon**; (2010.) "Quantitative Experimental Embryology: A Modern Classical Approach", Journal Of Developmental Biology.

14. **Liu, C., Li, X., Hu, Q., Jia, Z., Ye, Q., Wang, X., Zhao, K., Liu, L., & Wang, M.** (2025). Decoding the blueprints of embryo development with single-cell and spatial omics. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*. 167, 22-39 . https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2025.01.002.

15. **Michel Morange**; (2009.) "What History Tells Us XVI. A Third Pillar for Molecular Biology: Molecular Embryology", *Journal of Biosciences*.

16. **O. Pourquie;** (2010.) "The Four Revolutions of Development".

17. **P. Bowler; T. J. Horder, J. A. Witkowski & C. C. Wylie** (eds). (1986.) A History of Embryology (The Eighth Symposium of The British Society for Developmental Biology). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Pp. Xxiv + 475. ISBN 0-521-25953-3. £60.00, $99.50". *The British Journal For The History of Science*, 1987.

18. **R M Burian; J Gayon; D T Zallen;** (1991). "Boris Ephrussi. The Synthesis of Genetics and Embryology", Developmental Biolog. (IF: 3).

19. **Rivera-Pérez, J., & Hadjantonakis, A.** (2015). The Dynamics of Morphogenesis in the Early Mouse Embryo. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology, 7 11. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a015867.

20. **Scott F. Gilbert;** "Cytoplasmic Action in Development" (1991). The Quarterly Review of Biology.

21. **Shahbazi, M.** (2020). Mechanisms of human embryo development: from cell fate to tissue shape and back. Development (Cambridge, England), 147. **https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.190629.**

22. **Shankar, V., Van Blitterswijk, C., Vrij, E., & Giselbrecht, S.** (2023). Automated, High Throughput Phenotypic Screening and Analysis Platform to Study Pre and Post Implantation Morphogenesis in Stem Cell Derived Embryo-Like Structures. Advanced Science, 11. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202304987.

23. **Weatherbee, B., Cui, T., & Zernicka-Goetz, M.** (2020). Modeling human embryo development with embryonic and extra-embryonic stem cells.. Developmental biology. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2020.12.010.

24. **Zhao, L., Gao, F., Gao, S., Liang, Y., Long, H., Lv, Z., Su, Y., Ye, N., Zhang, L., Zhao, C., Wang, X., Song, W., Zhang, S., & Dong, B.** (2021). Biodiversity-based development and evolution: the emerging research systems in model and non-model organisms. *Science China Life Sciences*, 64, 1236 - 1280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-020-