



ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY PRODUCTIVITY OF PHYTOPLANKTONIC COMMUNITY STRUCTURE OF A WETLAND

Om Prakash Mandal¹, Sarvesh Kumar² and Rajkumar Singh³

¹P.G. Dept. of Zoology, A.P.S.M. College, Barauni, Begusarai, Bihar

²P.G. Dept. of Zoology, Samastipur College, Samastipur, Bihar

³G.M.R.D College Mohanpur, Samastipur, Bihar

Review Paper

Received: 20.04.2023

Revised: 28.04.2023

Accepted: 18.05.2023

ABSTRACT

Present work deals with the morphometrics, water and soil chemistry and productivity of Kavar Wetland with special reference to nutrient status. Studies were done during the period 2017-18.

Altogether 37 species of phytoplankton were recorded from the water of Kavar lake of four major group i.e. 8 species belong to Myxophyceae were recorded between 20 u/l to 200 u/l. 14 species belong to Chlorophyceae were recorded between 10 u/l to 280 u/l., 14 species belong to Bacillariophyceae were recorded between 40 u/l to 232 u/l, 1 specie belongs to Euglenophyceae was recorded between 0 u/l to 1.59 u/l.

No. of Pages: 4

References: 19

Keywords: Myxophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Euglenophyceae.

INTRODUCTION

Freshwater phytoplankton includes the photosynthetic as gross representing Myxophyceae, Chlorophyceae and Bacillariophyceae as a base of trophic level in the aquatic ecosystem and is directly related with fish production. Because of their short life cycles phytoplankters respond to quickly to environmental changes and hence their standing crop and species composition are more likely to indicate the quality of water masses in which they are found. Phytoplankton can also be used as biotic indicators of trophic status and pollution of water (Kaushal, 1979; Verma and Dutta Munshi, 1987). Phytoplankton are the Microscopic unicellular colonial or filamentous organisms, which contain photosynthetic pigment chlorophyll, phytoplankton are primary producer as through the process of photosynthesis they produce food and release oxygen which other organisms utilize. The density and variation of phytoplankton is essential to understand the basic nature of ecology and economy of freshwater body, characterized by quantitative and qualitative fluctuation in the phytoplankton population. A number of workers like Prescott (1939), Chakrabarty et.al, (1959), Jana (1979),

Verma and Dutta Munshi (1987), Goel et.al. (1988), Pandey et.al. (1994), Nandan and Jain (2002) have investigated the structure distribution and other aspect of plankton ecology.

The phytoplankton composition are controlled by change in existing environmental conditions light and temperature are major factor which influence the algal growth a number of investigator have reported the effect of temperature and light on the density and diversity of the phytoplankton important among them are Haque et.al. (1990). Phytoplankton also requires some essential nutrients viz. Nitrogen and phosphorous as the limiting nutrient for growth.

There are several workers on the relationship between phytoplankton and zooplankton. Jana (1979), Khan and Siddiqui (1979), Kundangar and Zutshi (1985) have reported inverse relationship on tropical pond of India. During the present investigation Kavar lake monthly and seasonal distribution of different groups of phytoplankton have been studied qualitatively and qualitative.

*Corresponding author: sarveshzoology@gmail.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The phytoplankton sample were collected during morning hour's between 8 A.M. to 11 A.M. Samples were collected from the sub-surface water through a plankton net made up bolting silk, cloth (no. 25) having a mesh size of 0.03 to 0.04 mm. The lower end of the cone of the plankton net was fitted to a glass tube of 50 ml. capacity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Altogether 37 species of Phytoplankton were recorded from Kavar lake of four same major groups, i.e. Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Myxophyceae and Euglenophyceae. 14 species belong to Chlorophyceae, 14 species belong to Bacillariophyceae, 8 belong to Myxophyceae and 1 species belongs to Euglenophyceae.

The qualitative order of Phytoplankton of Kavar lake was as follows :

Chlorophyceae > Bacillariophyceae
> Myxophyceae > Euglenophyceae

Monthly values of Myxophyceae was recorded between 20u/l to 200u/l in a year. Maximum density was recorded during summer and minimum during winter.

Chlorophyceae 14 species were recorded 10 u/l to 210u/l in a year. It was dominant group with Bacillariophyceae.

Bacillariophyceae 14 species were recorded 50 u/l to 220u/l in a year.

Euglenophyceae was recorded 1 specie. Density of Kavar lake of Euglenophyceae was found lowest and absent in several month.

Phytoplankton are the primary biotic components of food chain in an aquatic ecosystem. Its density is primarily controlled by basin morphometry, climatic cycle, water exchange rate. Davis (1955) pointed out that a number of physical, chemical and biological factors acting simultaneously, must be taken to consideration while understanding the fluctuation of Phytoplankton population. Two peaks of Phytoplankton were observed, one during summer and another during just after monsoon. According to Subramanyan (1959), "the biological year or the trend towards an increase in plankton production in the tropics begins with the monsoon. With the onset of monsoon, allochthonous nutrients are brought to the wetlands through water-shed run-off which in turn shoots the production of Phytoplankton. High density of Phytoplankton has been observed by several workers during summer (Rai, 1980; Bhowmick and Singh, 1985; Baruah, 1995; Mandal, 2002; Kumar, 2003).

In Kavar lake Bacillariophyceae and Chlorophyceae both dominated the Phytoplankton population and followed by Myxophyceae and Euglenophyceae.

Present study indicated that the Phytoplankton abundance in the waterbodies might have been favoured by the south-west monsoon inflow of rain water (Verma, 1981).

Table 1: Standing stock (u/l) and percentage composition (%) of Phytoplankton community of Kavar lake during January to December 2011.

	Myxophyceae		Chlorophyceae		Bacillariophyceae		Euglenophyceae	
Months	u/l	%	u/l	%	u/l	%	u/l	%
JAN.	32	26.89	34	28.57	52	44.83	2	1.72
FEB.	40	27.21	46	31.29	60	41.10	2	1.37
MAR.	80	29.96	84	31.46	100	37.59	4	1.50
APR.	112	28.28	112	28.28	168	42.86	4	1.02
MAY.	170	30.25	184	32.74	200	36.36	0	-
JUN.	200	32.31	189	30.53	240	38.16	1	0.16
JUL.	62	31.96	60	30.26	70	36.65	1	0.52
AUG.	42	33.87	40	32.26	40	32.79	2	1.64
SEP.	30	31.25	30	31.25	32	34.06	2	2.13
OCT.	20	32.25	10	16.13	30	48.39	2	3.23
NOV.	34	27.20	30	24.00	60	49.18	0	-
DEC.	42	25.61	40	24.39	80	50.00	0	-

Table 2 : Phytoplankton recorded of Kavar Wetlands, Begusarai.

Groups	Kavar lake
Chlorophyceae	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Volvox aureus</i>, Ehrenberg 2. <i>Closteriopsis</i> sp. 3. <i>Pedisastrumbryadiatum</i>(Turpin) Meneghini 4. <i>Pedisastrumboryanum</i> var. <i>longicornutum</i> Gutwin 5. <i>Ankistrodesmusfalcalus</i>(Corda) Ralfs. 6. <i>Closteridium</i> sp. 7. <i>Scendesmusquadricauda</i>(Turpin) Breb. 8. <i>Cosmarium</i> sp. 9. <i>Spirogyra longata</i>(Vauch.) Kuetzing 10. <i>Spirogyrasp.</i> 11. <i>Chlorellasp.</i> 12. <i>Microsporasp.</i> 13. <i>Zygnemasp.</i> 14. <i>Mougeotia quadrata</i>, Randhawa
Myxophyceae	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Nostoc linckia</i> (Roth), Bornet 2. <i>Anabaena variabilis</i>, Kuetzing 3. <i>Cylindrospermummuscicola</i>, Kuetzing 4. <i>Cylindrospermum indicum</i>, Rao 5. <i>Lyngbya major</i>, Kuetzing 6. <i>Phorridium</i> S p. 7. <i>Spirulina major</i>, Kuetzing 8. <i>Osillatoriasp.</i>
Bacillariophyceae	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Synedra ulna</i>, (Niag.) Chr. 2. <i>Fragilaria intermedia</i>, Grun 3. <i>Nitzschia</i>(Grun) var <i>subtilis</i> Grun. 4. <i>Nitzschia palea</i>, Kuetzing 5. <i>Pinnularia gibbet</i>, Ehrenberg 6. <i>Pinnulariaviridis</i>, Nitz. 7. <i>Caloneis</i> sp. 8. <i>Stauroneis</i> sp. 9. <i>Navicularadiosa</i>, Kuetz 10. <i>Cymbellasp.</i> 11. <i>Gomphonemaradiosa</i>, Ehr. 12. <i>Melosiraambigua</i>(Grun.) Mull. 13. <i>Melosiragranulata</i>(Ehrenberg) Ralfs 14. <i>Cyclotella</i> sp.
Euglenophyceae	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Euglena acus</i>, Ehrenbe

REFERENCES

1. **Baruah, Amitabh.** (1995) : Assessment of Primary and Secondary productivity of Kowar lake (Begusarai) Bihar. Ph.D. Thesis. T.M. Bhag. Univ., Bhagalpur, Bihar.
2. **Bhowmic, B.N. and Singh, A.K.** (1985) : Phytoplankton in relation to physico-chemical factors of river Ganga at Patna. *Indian J. Ecol.* 12(2). p. 360-364.
3. **Chakrabarty, R.D.; Roy, P. and Singh, S.B.** (1959) : A quantitative study of the plankton and the physico-chemical condition of the river Yamuna at Allahabad in 1954-55. *Indian. J. Fish.* 6(1). p. 186-203.
3. **Davis, C.C.** (1955) : The marine and freshwater plankton. Michigan state Univ. Press. East Lansing. p. 562.
4. **Goel, P.K.; Kulkarni, A.Y. and Khatavkar, S.D.** (1988) : Species diversity in Phytoplankton communities in a few freshwater bodies in southwestern Maharashtra. *Geobios* 15. p. 150-156.
5. **Haque N.;Khan, A.A. and Fatima, M.** (1990) : Composition and seasonal abundance of Phytoplankton in a tropical freshwater at Aligarh, India. In : The Second Asian Fisheries Forum, (Eds. R. Hirano and L. Hanju). *Asian Fisheries Soc.* Manila, Philippines. p. 1991.
7. **Jana, B.B.** (1979) : Temporal plankton succession and ecology of a tropical tank of West Bengal, India. *Int. Rev. Hydrobiol.*, 64. p. 661-771.
8. **Kaushal, D.K.** (1979) : Distinctive features of plankton composition of Govindsagar with an account on spatial and seasonal distribution. *Proc. Sum. Inst. Capt. Cult. Fish. Man made lakes. India.* Barrackpore.
9. **Khan, A.A. and Siddiqui, A.Q.** (1979) : Seasonal change's in the limnology of a perennial fish pond at Aligarh. *Ind. J. Fish.*, 21. p. 463-478.
10. **Kumar, Ajay** (2003) : Comparative Studies on Eco-biodiversity of Reservoir of Jharkhand and Beel of Bihar with special Reference to Pen Culture technology, Ph.D. Thesis, S.K.M. University, Dumka (Jharkhand).
11. **Kundangar, M.R. and Zutshi, D.P.** (1985) : Environmental features and plankton communities of two Himalayan rural lakes. In : *Proc. Nat. Symp. Pure and APpl. Limnology.* (Eds. A.D. Adoni.), *Bull. Bot. Soi. Sagar.* 32. p. 40-47.
12. **Mandal, O.P.** (2002) : Primary Productivity in Relation to Nutrient Status of Kowar wetland of North Bihar, Ph.D., B.N. Mandal University, Madhepura.
13. **Nandan, S.N. and Jain, D.S.** (2002) : Limnological study of algae from Sonvad dam of Dhule (Maharashtra). *Indian J. Environ. & Ecoplan.* 6(3) : 523-526.
14. **Pandey, B.L.; Rao, P. L.N.;Pisolkar, Md. and Sigh. B.K.** (1994) : Comparison of planktonic communities in different sectors of Bhatgar Reservoir. Pune (Maharashtra). *J. Freshwater Biol.* 6(I). p. 1-10.
15. **Prescott, G.W.** (1939) : Some relationship of Phytoplankton to limnology and Aquatic biology. *Amer. Assoc. Adv. Sci. Publ.* 10. p. 65-78.
16. **Rai, D.N.** (1980) : Ecological structure of certain swamps of Darbhanga (Bihar). Ph.D. Thesis, Bhagalpur. Univ. Bhagalpur.
17. **Subramanyam, R.** (1959) : Studies on the Phytoplankton of the West coast of India. Part I. *Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci.* 50, p. 113-187.
18. **Verma, P.K.** (1981) : The limnobiological survey of Badua Reservoir. Bhagalpur (Bihar). Ph. D. Thesis. Bhag. Univ. Bhag. (Bihar).
19. **Verma, P.K. and Munshi, J.D.** (1987) : Plankton community structure of Badua Reservoir; Bhagalpur (Bihar). *Trop. Ecol.* 28, p. 200-207.